

ORAL COMMUNICATION EVALUATION FORM

PhD Student Name _____

Discussion Date: _____

Title _____

Referee _____

Evaluation Parameter						
Manuscript Quality (MQ): Score range: 0-30	Excellent (6)	Good (5)	Average (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (2)	
Material organization						
Accuracy						
Language						
Discussion of results						
References						
Brief Account of MQ weaknesses						
Research and Methodology Novelty and Data-Supported Conclusions (NC): Score range: 0-20	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Average (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	
Research methodology						
Novelty						
Statistical analysis						
Data supported conclusions						
Brief Account of NC weaknesses						
Presentation Quality (PQ): Score range: 0-20		Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Average (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)
Quality of the Presentation						
Clarity						
Mastery of the topic						
Language usage	Italian	Foreign Language				
	0					
Brief Account of PQ weaknesses						
Accurate Reply to referee's questions (R): Score range: 0 - 30	Excellent (30)	Good (25)	Average (20)	Fair (15)	Poor (10)	
Reply						
Brief Account of R weaknesses						
Total: score range 0-100						

The overall evaluation of the PhD student is obtained by averaging the scores attributed by any referee and will be expressed as

A (very good) level:	Total score range: >86
B (good) level:	Total score range: 71-85
C (sufficient) level:	Total score range: 60-70
D (insufficient) level:	Total score range: <59