

## POSTER EVALUATION FORM

PhD Student Name \_\_\_\_\_

Discussion Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

Referee \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation Parameter						
<b>Manuscript Quality (MQ): Score range: 0-30</b>	<b>Excellent (6)</b>	<b>Good (5)</b>	<b>Average (4)</b>	<b>Fair (3)</b>	<b>Poor (2)</b>	
Material organization						
Accuracy						
Language						
Discussion of results						
References						
Brief Account of MQ weaknesses						
<b>Research and Methodology Novelty and Data-Supported Conclusions (NC): Scorerange: 0-20</b>	<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Good (4)</b>	<b>Average (3)</b>	<b>Fair (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>	
Research methodology						
Novelty						
Statistical analysis						
Data supported conclusions						
Brief Account of NC weaknesses						
<b>Presentation Quality (PQ): Score range: 0-20</b>		<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Good (4)</b>	<b>Average (3)</b>	<b>Fair (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>
Quality of the Presentation						
Clarity						
Mastery of the topic						
Language usage	<b>Italian</b>	<b>Foreign Language</b>				
	<b>0</b>					
Brief Account of PQ weaknesses						
<b>Accurate Reply to referee's questions (R): Score range: 0 - 30</b>	<b>Excellent (30)</b>	<b>Good (25)</b>	<b>Average (20)</b>	<b>Fair (15)</b>	<b>Poor (10)</b>	
Reply						
Brief Account of R weaknesses						
<b>Total: score range 0-100</b>						

The overall evaluation of the PhD student is obtained by averaging the scores attributed by any referee and will be expressed as

<b>A</b> (very good) level:	Total score range: >86
<b>B</b> (good) level:	Total score range: 71-85
<b>C</b> (sufficient) level:	Total score range: 60-70
<b>D</b> (insufficient) level:	Total score range: <59