

MINIPOSTER EVALUATION FORM

PhD Student Name _____

Discussion Date: _____

PhDDP Title _____

Referee _____

Evaluation Parameter						
Manuscript Quality (MQ): Score range: 0-32	Excellent (8)	Good (7)	Average (6)	Fair (5)	Poor (4)	
Material organization						
Accuracy						
Language						
References						
Brief Account of MQ weaknesses	_____ _____ _____					
Research and Methodology Novelty (RM): Score range: 0-20	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Average (7)	Fair (6)	Poor (5)	
Research methodology						
Novelty						
Brief Account of NC weaknesses	_____ _____ _____					
Presentation Quality (PQ): Score range: 0-24		Excellent (6)	Good (5)	Average (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (2)
Quality of the Presentation						
Clarity						
Mastery of the topic						
Language usage	Italian	Foreign Language				
	0					
Brief Account of PQ weaknesses	_____ _____ _____					
Accurate Reply to referee's questions (R): Score range: 0 – 24	Excellent (24)	Good (20)	Average (16)	Fair (12)	Poor (8)	
Reply						
Brief Account of R weaknesses	_____ _____ _____					
Total: score range 0-100						

The overall evaluation of the PhD student is obtained by averaging the scores attributed by any referee and will be expressed as

A (very good) level:	Total score range: >86
B (good) level:	Total score range: 71-85
C (sufficient) level:	Total score range: 60-70
D (insufficient) level:	Total score range: <59